# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY				
To: STEVEN W. STEWART DIGIMARC CORPORATION 19801 SW 72ND AVE. SUITE 100		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION		
TUALATIN, OR 97062			WRITTEN OF INION	
		(PCT Rule 66)		
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	18 MAR 2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	wishin 2 magshaldaya from	
P0565 (C)		within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/HS01/50483	21 December 2001 (21.)	12.2001)	22 December 2000 (22.12.2000)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
IPC(7): G06K 19/06 and US Cl.: 235/49 Applicant	2			
DIGIMARC ID SYSTEMS, LLC				
1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.  2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  I Basis of the opinion  II Priority  III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain observations on the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application				
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.  When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).				
How? By submitting For the form	in the second se			
Also MAR 2 2 2004 For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6				
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.  4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 22 April 2003 (22.04.2003)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer.  Karl D Frech Shawn S. Harry  Telephone No. (703) 308 0956		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/50483

I.	I. Basis of the opinion	
1.	1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-11 , as originally filed	
	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of	·
	the claims:  pages 12 and 13 , as originally filed  pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  pages NONE , filed with the demand  pages NONE , filed with the letter of	·
	the drawings:  pages 1-2, as originally filed  pages NONE, filed with the demand  pages NONE, filed with the letter of	·
	the sequence listing part of the description:  pages NONE , as originally filed  pages NONE , filed with the demand  pages NONE , filed with the letter of	·
2.	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	uns item.
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary 55.2 and/or 55.3).	r Rule23.1(b)). v examination(under Rules
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:	application, the written
	contained in the international application in printed form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go be international application as filed has been furnished.	eyond the disclosure in the
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to has been furnished.	the written sequence listing
4.	4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	<b>V</b>
	the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none	
5.	5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they he beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	ave been considered to go
*	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation unt this opinion as "originally filed."	der Article 14 are referred to in
1	<b>1</b>	

### WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US01/50483

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims NONE Claims 1-13	YESNO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-13	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-13 Claims NONE	

#### 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-13 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Leighton US 6,036,099 in view of JP 11259620A. Leighton discloses a circuit card 10 with a core 33, bottom and toplaminate layers 38, a circuit 22 and an antenna coil 20. Leighton does not disclose the laminate layer is a polyolefin. JP 11259620A discloses a card with a protective layer, which may be made of polyolefin. Leighton and JP 11259620A do not disclose that the core is also made of a polyolefin. However, as seen in JP 11259620A the use of polyolefin in circuit cards is old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a polyolefin laminate and core in the card of Leighton to increase the durability due to the inherent nature of the polyolefin substance and the adhesion properties of the multiple polyolefin surfaces. Leighton and JP 11259620A do not disclose the image surface. However, images on circuit cards, such as ID cards, printed in many ways including thermal printing and layering are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an image on one of the surfaces of Leighton, as this would allow for positive identification of the user and bearer of the card.

Claims 1-13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), because the invention is useful to the industry. ----- NEW CITATIONS -----

JP 11259620 A (Unknown) 24 September 1999 (24/09/1999), see translated passage.

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/50483

### VI. Certain document cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No Patent No. US 6,478,228 B1 US 2003/0038174 A1 US 2002/0070280 A1 US 2001/0002035 A1

Publication Date (day/month/year) 12 November 2002 (12.11.2002)

27 February 2003 (27.02.2003) 13 June 2002 (13.06.2002) 31 May 2001 (31.05.2001)

Filing Date (day/month/year) 22 December 1997 (22.12.1997)

22 December 2000 (22.12.2000) 22 December 1997 (22.12.1997) 29 November 2000 (29.11.2000)

Priority Date (valid claim) (day/month/year) 27 December 1996 (27.12.1996)

None 27 December 1996 (27.12.1996) 29 November 1999 (29.11.1999)

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

Kind of non-written disclosure

Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

WR	ויידיו	EN	OP	IM	ΛN

International application No. PCT/US01/50483

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)				
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.				
	·			